February 25, 2019

To: Senate Committee on Housing
   Senator Shemia Fagan, Chair
   Members of the Committee

Email: shous.exhibits@oregonlegislature.gov

Re: SB 8 – Requires Payment of Costs on Appeals – Oppose

The League supports our statewide land use planning program with local implementation. Since 1959 we have been studying and participating in the development of legislation related to land use in Oregon. Land use planning is about where people live, work, play, shop and how they get there.

The League has long supported the involvement of residents in developing their Comprehensive Plans and Development Codes. The next step, of course, is actual implementation of those documents by development applications. Residents should have the same right to participate in the quasi-judicial process as the legislative one. The rules become more proscriptive since the general policy questions should have been answered. We support such a clearly defined process. However, policy documents can lack clarity and may be applied in a manner that those participating feel is incorrect. At that point, those appealing should have reasonable access to their local elected officials and to the Land Use Board of Appeals. Remember that appellants might be the original applicant and not just “the neighbors”.

It has long been recognized that our Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) should be accessible to those wishing to challenge a local decision. LUBA was created to help keep decisions out of a long, drawn out, expensive Circuit Court proceeding. There should not be an unreasonable barrier to the local government even before such LUBA appeal—if any. In fact, clarity of interpretation of a local decision belongs first with the final local authority—the city or county elected officials.

Enforcement of our land use laws is a complaint driven system. All parties must have access to adjudication of those complaints without undue threats of financial harm.

Current statistics from the Land Use Board of Appeals indicates that 131 Final Opinions were issued in 2017-2018. There are more than 10,000 local actions taken around Oregon every year. Changing state law to address a few appeal actions is dangerous in setting precedent. We ask you to reject this bill.

Thank you for the opportunity to discuss this legislation.

Norman Turrill
LWVOR President

Peggy Lynch
LWVOR Natural Resources Coordinator